Case 1:18-cv-11694-GHW Document 36 USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DATE FILED: 4/5/2019 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK GLADYS MEJIA, Plaintiff,

Filed 04/05/19 Page 1 of 4

1:18-cv-11694-GHW

ORDER

GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Judge:

ORIN MANAGEMENET LLC AND

STEVEN SOHN

The Court has been advised that the parties have reached a settlement in this case, which includes claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act (the "FLSA") and dismisses all claims with prejudice. Accordingly, the parties are directed to proceed as described below.

Defendant.

I. Judicial Approval and Order of Dismissal of FLSA Claims with Prejudice under Rule 41(a)(2).

In light of the decision of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015), which held that the FLSA falls within the "applicable federal statute" exception to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A), the parties cannot dismiss claims arising under the FLSA with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A). The holding of Cheeks does not apply to claims arising under any statute other than the FLSA. As a result, in order to dismiss an FLSA claim with prejudice, the parties must seek court approval of the proposed dismissal under Rule 41(a)(2). In order to seek such approval, the parties are directed to proceed as follows:

(a) First, the parties are ORDERED to discuss whether they are willing to consent, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge. If both parties consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge, the parties must, no later than two weeks from the date of this order file on ECF a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge form, a copy of which is attached to this order (and is also available at http://nysd.uscourts.gov/file/forms/consent-to-proceed-before-us-magistrate-judge). The executed form should be filed on ECF as a "Proposed Order," and be described using the "Consent Order" filing event in accordance with ECF Rule 13.18. If the Court approves that form, all further proceedings, including the evaluation of the proposed settlement, will then be conducted before the assigned Magistrate Judge rather than before me. Any appeal would be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, as it would be if the consent form were not signed and so ordered.

If either party does not consent to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge, the parties must file a joint letter, no later than two weeks from the date of this order, advising the Court that the parties do not consent, but without disclosing the identity of the party or parties who do not consent. The parties are free to withhold consent without negative consequences.

(b) Second, if the parties do not consent to conduct all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge, they shall instead submit to the Court by April 26, 2019 a joint motion via ECF setting forth their views as to why their settlement is fair and should be approved. The motion must address the considerations detailed in Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332 (S.D.N.Y. 2012), and must include a copy of the settlement agreement itself, attached as an exhibit. The parties are advised that the Court will not approve settlement agreements that contain a confidentiality provision, and that the Court will not permit the parties to file any portion of any document related to the Court's evaluation of the proposed settlement under seal unless they have first made a particularized showing of the need for the relevant information to be sealed that rebuts the presumption of public access to judicial documents.

If the settlement includes attorney's fees, the parties should also address the reasonableness of the fees to be awarded under the framework set forth in *Goldberger v. Integrated Resources, Inc.*, 209

Case 1:18-cv-11694-GHW Document 36 Filed 04/05/19 Page 3 of 4

F.3d 43, 50 (2d Cir. 2000). Plaintiffs' attorneys must also attach as an exhibit detailed attorney time records for the Court's review, as the Second Circuit "encourage[s] the practice of requiring documentation of hours as a 'cross check" even in cases in which the fees awarded are a percentage of the total award. *Id.* (citation omitted).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 5, 2019 New York, New York

United States District Judge

be involved with your case.

Note:

United States District Court

1	for the	
Southern District of New York		
Plaintiff V. Defendant)) Civil Action No.)	
Notice of a magistrate judge's availability. A Unit proceedings in this civil action (including a jury or nonjury to	A CIVIL ACTION TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE ed States magistrate judge of this court is available to conduct all rial) and to order the entry of a final judgment. The judgment may ls like any other judgment of this court. A magistrate judge may nt.	
•	gistrate judge, or you may withhold your consent without adverse ling consent will not be revealed to any judge who may otherwise	

Consent to a magistrate judge's authority. The following parties consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct all proceedings in this case including trial, the entry of final judgment, and all post-trial proceedings.

Parties' printed names	Signatures of parties or attorneys	Dates
	Reference Order	
IT IS ORDERED: This case is referred to a United States magistrate judge to conduct all proceedings and order the entry of a final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73.		
Date:		
	District Judge's signo	ature
	Printed name and t	iitla
	1 rinea name ana i	*****

Save As... Reset

magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.

Return this form to the clerk of court only if you are consenting to the exercise of jurisdiction by a United States